YesNo

2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: Wakulla (Approved)

Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

The school district administers districtwide assessments of academic student achievement. Yes No
History
If <u>yes</u> , include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your school district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate academic achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the school district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)
General Education Assessment: Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST): Early Literacy, Reading and Math PreK-
Grade 10 Corresponding Alternate Assessment: FAA-Performance Task Reading & Writing; Math, FAA-Datafolio Reading & Writing; Math
General Education End-of-Course Exams (EOCs): Algebra 1, Geometry, Biology, Civics, U.S. History, Florida Civic Literacy Exam
Corresponding Alternate Assessment: FAA-Algebra 1, FAA-Geometry, FAA-Biology, FAA-Civics, FAA-U.S. History
NGSSS Science and FSA Retakes: Science Grades 5 and 8
History
Parental Consent Documentation
In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the school district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the school district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the school district obtains approval through a due process hearing. The school district shall obtain written parental consent for the actions described above on the Parental Consent Form – Instruction in Access Points – Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AP-AAAS) and Administration of the Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment, Form 313181 https://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-14585 .
The school district certifies that it either obtains prior parental consent or due process approval for every student participating in the FAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the school district certifies that it has documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from DOAH.

History

Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at https://www.ed.gov/essa), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.

While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 C.F.R. § 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than one percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The state must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.

access courses and participating in the FAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that school districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.				
What is your school district's 2022-23 participation percentage in the FAA in the following areas?				
Reading				
1.8				
History				
Mathematics				
2.0				
History				
Science				
3.6				
History				
Theory				
Is the school district over one percent in any area? • Yes				
O No				
History				

The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(d), F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., and on the Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation, which can be found at https://faa.fsassessments.org/-/media/project/client-portals/florida-alt/2023-2024-faa/manuals-and-guides/checklist-for-course-and-assessment-participation.pdf for use in determining student eligibility for participation in the FAA program.

If the school district is over one percent in any area, please provide a description of how the school district is ensuring that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)

School Staffing Specialists provide training and guidance to school administrators, ESE coordinators and teachers in the process of determining which standards and method of assessment are appropriate for SWDs. All IEP team decisions regarding which standards and method of assessment are most meaningful and appropriate for each individual students are based on a holistic view of the student and not dependent on one determining factor alone. When making a determination, the IEP team considers the student's present level of educational performance in reference to the Florida Standards and the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. To facilitate informed and equitable decision-making, IEP teams answer each of the questions found in the Assessment Planning Resource Guide for IEP Teams provided by the FLDOE. For students with significant cognitive disabilities that the IEP team determines will be instructed in Access Points and participate in the FSAA, a second checklist provided in the Assessment Planning Resource Guide for IEP Teams is used to determine the method in which the student will be assessed; Performance Task or Datafolio.

Provide a justification, with supporting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the school district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses that exceeds one percent (e.g., center schools serving surrounding school districts).

The Wakulla County School district has the eighth highest district score in the state out of all public school districts in Florida. It is an "A" district and has a graduation rate for students with disabilities of 100%. The excellent performance of our school district attracts many families to our county. The Wakulla County School District offers innovative and effective programs for SWD, which is documented in the LEA Profile. LEA data for Wakulla County is consistently higher than SEA data and data from many of the surrounding districts. Specifically, many families that have children with disabilities move into our school district so that their children can participate in the Varying Exceptionalities programs that focus on students with Autism Spectrum Disorders, Emotional Behavior Disorders, and Intellectual Disabilities. WCSD is a strong advocate for Inclusion and our number of students in self-contained classes grows smaller each year. This is accomplished through our strong collaboration with the Florida Inclusion Network which has assisted us with scheduling and implementing a Peers as Partners Program at our one high school. This also attracts parents of SWD to move to Wakulla County and enroll in our school system. Wakulla rates of participation in FAA ELA math and science remain just above 1% as does the participation rates of other smaller districts in our area. The smaller number of students in the Wakulla County School District means that a minor increase in the number of students taking an FAA can result in a participation rate change exceeding 1.0% from one year to the next.

History

What is your school district's risk ratio for disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup?

ELA American Indian or Alaskan Native

18.5

History

ELA Black, non-Hispanic	
1.1	
History	
El A Illamente	
ELA Hispanic	
0.9	
I lietam.	
History	
ELA Asian or Pacific Islander	
0	
History	
El A Milita man Hispania	
ELA White, non-Hispanic	
0.9	
I.P. A	
History	
ELA Economically Disadvantaged	
1.8	
History	
El A English Language Lagrace	
ELA English Language Learner 9.43	
J.43	
LP-4	
History	

Math American Indian of	or Alaskan Native		
12.9			
History			
Math Black, non-Hispar	1IC		
1.4			
History			
Math Hispanic			
1.4			
History			
-			
Math Asian or Pacific Is	lander		
0			
History			
Math White, non-Hispar	nic		
0.7			
1			
History			
Thousand			
Math Economically Disa	advantaged		
1.9			
History			

Math English Language Learner	
7.0	
History	
Calamaa Amaniaan Indian an Alaahan Natina	
Science American Indian or Alaskan Native 0	
U	
History	
nistory	
Science Black, non-Hispanic	
1.3	
History	
Science Hispanic	
2.1	
History	
,	
Science Asian or Pacific Islander	
0	
History	
Science White, non-Hispanic	
0.8	
History	

Science Econon	ically Disadvantaged			
1.6				
History				
Tilotory				
Science English	Language Learner			
0				
History				
Social Studies A	merican Indian or Alaskan	Native		
N/A				
History				
	lack, non-Hispanic			
N/A				
History				
Social Studies F	ispanic			
N/A				
History				
Social Studies A	sian or Pacific Islander			
N/A				
N/A History				

Social Studies White, non-Hispanic	
N/A	
History	
Social Studies Economically Disadvantaged	
N/A	
History	
Social Studies English Language Learner	
N/A	
History	

If an identified risk ratio is 3 or above in any area, describe the school district's plan to address this disproportionality. This could include examining practices, such as the training and technical assistance provided to personnel on culturally responsive practices; working within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to promote best practices in screening; progress monitoring; and initial eligibility determination. School districts may also refer to their efforts to decrease disproportionality in evaluation, identification and discipline if similar efforts are made in that area.

The American Indian/Native Alaskan risk ratio is higher than 3 but we only have one student. English Language Learners is also over 3 but we only have two students. Since no disproportionality is indicated, I feel that this is evidence that the Wakulla School District is culturally responsive and has an effective system for identifying, evaluating and determining disability.

History

Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., Statewide Assessment for Students with Disabilities

District-Specific Procedures

These are the criteria required for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as per Rule 6A-1.0943(5), F.A.C.:

Section A: The decision that a student with a significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(5)(a), F.A.C., must be made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP.

If the definition of "most significant cognitive disability" is not met according to the criteria set in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., then complete Section E of this document, which satisfies Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)2., F.A.C.

Section B: The provisions regarding parental consent for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment found in Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., must be followed.

Section C: In order for a student to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment, all of the following criteria must be met:

1. The student must receive exceptional student education (ESE) services as identified through a current IEP and be enrolled in the appropriate and aligned courses using alternate achievement standards for two consecutive full-time equivalent reporting periods prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's current IEP and student schedule.

2. The student must be receiving specially designed instruction, which provides unique instruction and intervention support that is determined, designed and delivered through a team approach, ensuring access to core instruction through the adaptation of content, methodology or delivery of instruction and exhibits very limited to no progress in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or response-to-intervention (RtI) documentation.

3. The student must be receiving support through systematic, explicit and interactive small-group instruction focused on foundational skills in addition to instruction in the general education curriculum standards;

	•
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or Rtl documentation.
4.	Even after documented evidence of exhausting all appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of services provided—accommodation logs, accommodation logs compared to classroom performance).
5.	Even after documented evidence of accessing a variety of supplementary instructional materials, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, speech-language pathologist (SLP) or other service providers).
6.	Even with documented evidence of the provision and use of assistive technology, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision assistive technology services provided).
7.	Even with direct instruction in all core academic areas (i.e., ELA, mathematics, social studies and science), the student is exhibiting limited or no progress on the general education curriculum standards, and requires modifications;

8. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been available and present for grade-level general education curriculum standards instruction for at least 70 percent of the school year prior to the assessment;

the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, SLP or other service providers).

_ (the required IEP and school district documentation of

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's attendance report.

Evidence of criteria will be provided with ___

9. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been instructed by a certified teacher for at least 80 percent of the school year prior to the assessment; and

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the teacher's certificate and teacher's attendance record.

10. The assessment instrument used to measure the student's global level of cognitive functioning was selected to limit the adverse impact of already-identified limitations and impairments (e.g., language acquisition, mode of communication, culture, hearing, vision, orthopedic functioning, hypersensitivities and distractibility).

Evidence of criteria will be provided through available evaluations, medical reports or screeners provided in the past.

11. The student has a most significant cognitive disability.

Section D: A student is not eligible to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment if any of the following apply:

1. The student is identified as a student with a specific learning disability or as gifted;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable evaluation results.

2. The student is identified only as a student eligible for services as a student who is deaf or hard of hearing or has a visual impairment, a dual sensory impairment, an emotional or behavioral disability, a language impairment, a speech impairment, or an orthopedic impairment; or

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable data.

3. The student scored a level 2 or above on a previous statewide, general education curriculum standardized assessment administered pursuant to Section 1008.22(3)(e), F.S., unless there is medical documentation that the student experienced a traumatic brain injury or other health-related complications subsequent to the administration of that assessment that led to the student having the most significantly below-average global cognitive impairment.

Evidence of criteria will be provided through statewide standard assessment results, if applicable.

Section E: In the extraordinary circumstance when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable, a school district will comply as follows:

More specifically, in the event when a student cannot be directly assessed, the student who has a suspected most significant cognitive disability for whom assessment via the FAA may be appropriate as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., will be identified through the following detailed procedure:

List the factors the school district will use to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable.

- Evaluator attempted to assess cognitive functioning using an appropriate evaluation instrument
- · Observations and input from the evaluator, teacher and parent
- · Consideration of Medical Diagnosis
- Classroom Data

History

Describe the assessment process the school district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

- The use of a Developmental Profile 4 completed by teacher with parental input
- Evaluator observations during structured academic times
- The team will consider all previous educational, medical, developmental history and adaptive behavior skills.

History

Describe how the school district will train and monitor staff with compliance of the determination and assessment process.

- Evaluators will be trained initially to understand new policies guiding the processes outlined in #1 and #2.
- Monthly follow-up or individual technical support will be provided to evaluators/school-based teams as needed.
- Training will also be provided to ESE Staffing Specialists regarding updated state policies and district procedures as described in #1 and #2. Monthly follow-up or individualized technical assistance will also be provided as needed.

History		
,		