2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: UCP of Central Florida (Approved)

Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

The school district administers districtwide assessments of academic student achievement.
Yes No
O NO
History
If <u>yes</u> , include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your school district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate academic achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the school district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)
Star
Progress Monitoring
State Wide PM
All local assessments are administered to student on alternate academic achievement standards.
Adjustments may be made for individual needs.
History
Parental Consent Documentation
In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the school district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the school district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the school district obtains approval through a due process hearing. The school district shall obtain written parental consent for the actions described above on the Parental Consent Form – Instruction in Access Points – Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AP-AAAS) and Administration of the Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment, Form 313181 https://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-14585 .
The school district certifies that it either obtains prior parental consent or due process approval for every student participating in the FAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the school district certifies that it has documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from DOAH.
Yes No
Yes

Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at https://www.ed.gov/essa), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.

While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 C.F.R. § 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than one percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The state must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.

It is understood that school districts have unique circumstances that may contribute to a higher number of students who are in access courses and participating in the FAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that school districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.

What is your school district's 2022-23 participation percentage in the FAA in the following areas?

The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(d), F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., and on the Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation, which can be found at https://faa.fsassessments.org/-/media/project/client-portals/florida-alt/2023-2024-faa/manuals-and-guides/checklist-for-course-and-assessment-participation.pdf for use in determining student eligibility for participation in the FAA program.

If the school district is over one percent in any area, please provide a description of how the school district is ensuring
that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)

UCP Charter Schools is the LEA and we are a system of schools that specialize in SWD therefore we may go over the one percent cap in an area from year to year as our schools are any where from 50% - 100 % SWD.

History	
	porting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the school igher enrollment of students in access courses that exceeds one percent (e.g., center chool districts).
	and we are a system of schools that specialize in SWD therefore we may go over the one to year as our schools are any where from 50% - 100 % SWD.
History	
What is your school district's risk r	ratio for disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup?
ELA American Indian or Alaskar	n Native
NA.	
History	
ELA Black, non-Hispanic	
.82	
History	
ELA Hispanic	
1.12	
History	

ELA Asian or Pacific Island	er		
.47			
History			
ELA White, non-Hispanic			
1.24			
History			
ELA Economically Disadva	ntagad		
.88	maged		
History			
ELA English Language Lea	rner		
.47			
115.4			
History			
Math American Indian or A	askan Native		
NA			
History			
Math Black, non-Hispanic			
.75			
History			

Math Hispanic				
1.11				
History				
Math Asian or Pac	ific Islander			
.46				
History				
Math White, non-F	lispanic			
1.31				
History				
Math Economical	y Disadvantaged			
.82				
History				
Math English Lan	guago Loarnor			
.51	juage Learner			
History				
Science American	ı Indian or Alaskan Native	;		
NA				
History				

	n-Hispanic		
.73			
History			
Science Hispanic			
1.32			
History			
<u> </u>			
Science Asian or	Pacific Islander		
1.29			
History			
Science White, no	n-Hispanic		
.83			
.00			
.00			
History			
History	cally Disadvantaged		
History	cally Disadvantaged		
History Science Economic	cally Disadvantaged		
History Science Economic .89	cally Disadvantaged		
History Science Economic	cally Disadvantaged		
History Science Economic .89 History			
History Science Economic .89 History Science English L	cally Disadvantaged .anguage Learner		
History Science Economic .89 History			
History Science Economic .89 History Science English L			

		askan Native		
NA				
History				
Social Studios B	ack, non-Hispanic			
	lack, Holl-Hispanic			
NA				
History				
Social Studies H	spanic			
NA				
History				
History				
	sian or Pacific Island	der		
Social Studies A	sian or Pacific Island	der		
NA	sian or Pacific Island	der		
	sian or Pacific Island	der		
NA History		der		
NA History Social Studies W	sian or Pacific Island	der		
NA History		der		
NA History Social Studies W		der		
NA History Social Studies W		der		
NA History Social Studies W NA History	hite, non-Hispanic			
NA History Social Studies W NA History				
NA History Social Studies W NA History Social Studies E	hite, non-Hispanic			

Social Studies English Language Learner

NA

History

If an identified risk ratio is 3 or above in any area, describe the school district's plan to address this disproportionality. This could include examining practices, such as the training and technical assistance provided to personnel on culturally responsive practices; working within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to promote best practices in screening; progress monitoring; and initial eligibility determination. School districts may also refer to their efforts to decrease disproportionality in evaluation, identification and discipline if similar efforts are made in that area.

NA

History

Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., Statewide Assessment for Students with Disabilities

District-Specific Procedures

These are the criteria required for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as per Rule 6A-1.0943(5), F.A.C.:

Section A: The decision that a student with a significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(5)(a), F.A.C., must be made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP.

If the definition of "most significant cognitive disability" is not met according to the criteria set in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., then complete Section E of this document, which satisfies Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)2., F.A.C.

Section B: The provisions regarding parental consent for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment found in Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., must be followed.

Section C: In order for a student to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment, all of the following criteria must be met:

1. The student must receive exceptional student education (ESE) services as identified through a current IEP and be enrolled in the appropriate and aligned courses using alternate achievement standards for two consecutive full-time equivalent reporting periods prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's current IEP and student schedule.

2. The student must be receiving specially designed instruction, which provides unique instruction and intervention support that is determined, designed and delivered through a team approach, ensuring access to core instruction through the adaptation of content, methodology or delivery of instruction and exhibits very limited to no progress in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or response-to-intervention (RtI) documentation.

3. The student must be receiving support through systematic, explicit and interactive small-group instruction focused on foundational skills in addition to instruction in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or Rtl documentation.

4. Even after documented evidence of exhausting all appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;

	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (th services provided—accommodation logs, accommodation logs compared to the services provided to	e required IEP and school district documentation of red to classroom performance).
5	 Even after documented evidence of accessing a variety of supplement modifications to the general education curriculum standards; 	ary instructional materials, the student requires
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the rather provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summaterial of the rather service providers).	equired IEP and school district documentation of mary from teacher, speech-language pathologist
6	6. Even with documented evidence of the provision and use of assistive t general education curriculum standards;	echnology, the student requires modifications to the
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the respect to the provision assistive technology services provided).	equired IEP and school district documentation of
7	7. Even with direct instruction in all core academic areas (i.e., ELA, mathe exhibiting limited or no progress on the general education curriculum s	•
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the reference of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summer of supplementary instructional materials—may	
8	8. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been a education curriculum standards instruction for at least 70 percent of the	
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's attendance r	eport.
9	9. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been in percent of the school year prior to the assessment; and	estructed by a certified teacher for at least 80
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the teacher's certificate an	nd teacher's attendance record.
10	10. The assessment instrument used to measure the student's global level adverse impact of already-identified limitations and impairments (e.g., l culture, hearing, vision, orthopedic functioning, hypersensitivities and c	anguage acquisition, mode of communication,
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through available evaluations, med	dical reports or screeners provided in the past.
11	11. The student has a most significant cognitive disability.	
Section apply	ction D : A student is not eligible to participate in the statewide, standardize bly:	ed alternate assessment if any of the following
1	1. The student is identified as a student with a specific learning disability	or as gifted;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable evalua	tion results.
2	The student is identified only as a student eligible for services as a student impairment, a dual sensory impairment, an emotional or behavioral distimpairment, or an orthopedic impairment; or	
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable data.	
3	3. The student scored a level 2 or above on a previous statewide, general administered pursuant to Section 1008.22(3)(e), F.S., unless there is matraumatic brain injury or other health-related complications subseque to the student having the most significantly below-average global cognitions.	nedical documentation that the student experienced nt to the administration of that assessment that led
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through statewide standard assess	sment results, if applicable.

, 10:13 AM	GSW 2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: UCP of Central Florida (Approved)
ction E: In the extraordinal comply as follows:	ry circumstance when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable, a school district
significant cognitive disa	event when a student cannot be directly assessed, the student who has a suspected most bility for whom assessment via the FAA may be appropriate as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., through the following detailed procedure:

List the factors the school district will use to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable.

The school psychologists will attempt to complete evaluations to establish a global IQ as outlined in the State rule. If the school psychologist has determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable the school psychologist will consider the following factors:

- Does the student have a language processing problem?
- Deaf and or hard of hearing
- Visual impairment
- dual sensory disorder
- speech and or language impairment and is not verbally communicative.
- 1. Please explain the assessment process(es) the district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of a reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

If the school psychologist is unbale to ascertain a global IQ score, the school psychologist will choose one of the following assessments to indirectly assess the student's cognitive ability.

- The Slosson Intelligence Test 4th Edition (SIT-4) –
- II. Developmental Assessment for Individuals with Severe Disabilities, 3 (Dash-3)
- III. Differential Ability Score Das -II

In addition, the school psychologist will include series of classroom observations to provide a narrative based on professional expertise.

2. Please explain how the district will train and monitor staff with compliance related to Section A procedure steps (1) through (2).

UCP of Central Florida will develop and provide professional development for all staff on the guidelines as well as factors to consider when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable. Additionally, the district's Senior Director of Education and ESE Compliance Coordinator will review each evaluation process for adherence to guideline each time it is determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable

story			

Describe the assessment process the school district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

The school psychologists will attempt to complete evaluations to establish a global IQ as outlined in the State rule. If the school psychologist has determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable the school psychologist will consider the following factors:

- Does the student have a language processing problem?
- Deaf and or hard of hearing
- Visual impairment
- dual sensory disorder
- speech and or language impairment and is not verbally communicative.
- 1. Please explain the assessment process(es) the district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of a reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

If the school psychologist is unbale to ascertain a global IQ score, the school psychologist will choose one of the following assessments to indirectly assess the student's cognitive ability.

- The Slosson Intelligence Test 4th Edition (SIT-4) –
- II. Developmental Assessment for Individuals with Severe Disabilities, 3(Dash-3)
- III. Differential Ability Score Das -II

In addition, the school psychologist will include series of classroom observations to provide a narrative based on professional expertise.

2. Please explain how the district will train and monitor staff with compliance related to Section A procedure steps (1) through (2).

UCP of Central Florida will develop and provide professional development for all staff on the guidelines as well as factors to consider when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable. Additionally, the district's Senior Director of Education and ESE Compliance Coordinator will review each evaluation process for adherence to guideline each time it is determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable

story			

Describe how the school district will train and monitor staff with compliance of the determination and assessment process.

The school psychologists will attempt to complete evaluations to establish a global IQ as outlined in the State rule. If the school psychologist has determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable the school psychologist will consider the following factors:

- Does the student have a language processing problem?
- Deaf and or hard of hearing
- Visual impairment
- dual sensory disorder
- speech and or language impairment and is not verbally communicative.
- 1. Please explain the assessment process(es) the district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of a reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

If the school psychologist is unbale to ascertain a global IQ score, the school psychologist will choose one of the following assessments to indirectly assess the student's cognitive ability.

- The Slosson Intelligence Test 4th Edition (SIT-4) –
- II. Developmental Assessment for Individuals with Severe Disabilities, 3 (Dash-3)
- III. Differential Ability Score Das -II

In addition, the school psychologist will include series of classroom observations to provide a narrative based on professional expertise.

2. Please explain how the district will train and monitor staff with compliance related to Section A procedure steps (1) through (2).

UCP of Central Florida will develop and provide professional development for all staff on the guidelines as well as factors to consider when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable. Additionally, the district's Senior Director of Education and ESE Compliance Coordinator will review each evaluation process for adherence to guideline each time it is determined that a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable

I. D. a A. a. a. a.		
History		
1 Hotory		