2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: Orange (Pre-approved)

Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

ne school district administers districtwide assessments of academic student achievement. Yes No	
History	

If <u>yes</u>, include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your school district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate academic achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the school district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)

Standards Based Unit Assessments are mandated district-wide assessments. Every course code used in Orange has a Common Final Exam (CFE), if it is not covered by a state-mandated exam like FAST or FSAA or a national/international assessment like IB or AP. Students on access points scheduled for access course codes are provided an alternate assessment aligned to their course code. The assessment is a rubric that assesses the same priority standards that are assessed on the mainstream multiple choice CFE. Teachers use data collected throughout the year on the standards and must keep that evidence in their files for at least one year. Additionally, students assigned to access course codes are provided additional progress monitoring using the Unique Learning System to monitor progress towards the standards.

History

Parental Consent Documentation

In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the school district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the school district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the school district obtains approval through a due process hearing. The school district shall obtain written parental consent for the actions described above on the Parental Consent Form – Instruction in Access Points – Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AP-AAAS) and Administration of the Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment, Form 313181 https://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-14585.

participating in the FAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the school district certifies that it has documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from DOAH.
O No
History
Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment
The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at https://www.ed.gov/essa), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.
While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 C.F.R. § 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than one percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The state must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.
It is understood that school districts have unique circumstances that may contribute to a higher number of students who are in access courses and participating in the FAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that school districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.
What is your school district's 2022-23 participation percentage in the FAA in the following areas?
Reading
1.0
History
Mathematics
1.1
I Balania
History
Ociomas.
Science 1.1
History

Is the school district over one percent in Yes No	iny area?
History	

The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(d), F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., and on the Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation, which can be found at https://faa.fsassessments.org/-/media/project/client-portals/florida-alt/2023-2024-faa/manuals-and-guides/checklist-for-course-and-assessment-participation.pdf for use in determining student eligibility for participation in the FAA program.

If the school district is over one percent in any area, please provide a description of how the school district is ensuring that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)

As of the last reporting period using data from the district's 2018-2019 participation in FSAA assessments, the district decreased its percentage of students during the 2022-2023 participation of FSAA in ELA from 1.2% to 1.0%, in Math from 1.4% to 1.1%, and in Science from 1.2% to 1.1%. This is a result of the district's monitoring practices to ensure that IEP teams use the least dangerous assumption when determining the need for a student to participate in an alternate assessment. Monitoring includes oversight of key stakeholders including, but not limited to school psychologists, social workers, and ESE program specialists who guide IEP team teams using their expertise in the review of comprehensive data towards making a determination.

History				

ELA Hispanic

0.9

Provide a justification, with supporting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the school district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses that exceeds one percent (e.g., center schools serving surrounding school districts).

The district has reviewed the data for students who participated in the FSAA for the 2022-2023 school year. Based on the review of data, the district has determined that overall enrollment within OCPS has increased, thus our students participating in FSAA have increased. We also have a few charter schools who serve surrounding districts. There is a charter school who has a large number of students taking the FSAA and we also have two center schools where all tested students are assessed using the FSAA. Based on the FSAA Participation Report data, the following sites impact the district's numbers. Access Charter- ELA=97% of school population, 5.3% of the district FSAA population Math= 98% of the school population, 7.5% of the district FSAA population Science= 100% of the school population, 2% of the district FSAA population Magnolia School- ELA= 100% of the school population, 2.4% of the district FSAA population Science= 100% of the school population, 2.8% of the district FSAA population Hospital Homebound - ELA= 59% of the school population, 3.6% of the district FSAA population Math= 57% of the school population, 3.1% of the district FSAA population Science= 66.7% of the school population, 3.6% of the district FSAA population

History
What is your school district's risk ratio for disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup?
ELA American Indian or Alaskan Native
0.8
History
Tilotory
ELA Black, non-Hispanic
1.3
18-4-m.
History

History			
,			
ELA Asian or Pacific	Islander		
1.0			
History			
Thistory			
ELA White, non-Hisp	anic		
0.7			
1			
Llistom			
History			
ELA Economically D	isadvantaged		
1.2			
History			
History			
ELA English Langua	ge Learner		
0.4			
History			
Thotory			
Math American India	n or Alaskan Native		
0.8			
History			
,			
Math Black, non-His	panic		
1.3			

History	
Math Hispanic	
0.8	
History	
Math Asian or Pacific Islander	
1.0 and 0.9	
History	
Thotaly	
Math White, non-Hispanic	
0.8	
History	
Math Economically Disadvantaged	
1.1	
History	
Tilstory	
Math English Language Learner	
0.4	
History	
Science American Indian or Alaskan Native	
1.0	

History	
Theory	
Ostanas Black and Utanasta	
Science Black, non-Hispanic	
1.3	
History	
Theory	
Science Hispanic	
0.9	
History	
Science Asian or Pacific Islander	
1.2 and 0.9	
1.2 and 0.3	
History	
Science White, non-Hispanic	
0.7	
Tana a	
History	
Science Economically Disadvantaged	
1.2	
History	
Science English Language Learner	
0.4	

History	
Social Studies American Indian or Alaska	an Native
NA	
History	
Social Studies Black, non-Hispanic	
NA .	
History	
Social Studios Highania	
Social Studies Hispanic NA	
IVA	
History	
,	
Social Studies Asian or Pacific Islander	
NA	
History	
History	
Social Studies White, non-Hispanic	
NA	
History	
Social Studies Economically Disadvantag	ged
NA	

History

Social Studies English Language Learner

NA

History

If an identified risk ratio is 3 or above in any area, describe the school district's plan to address this disproportionality. This could include examining practices, such as the training and technical assistance provided to personnel on culturally responsive practices; working within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to promote best practices in screening; progress monitoring; and initial eligibility determination. School districts may also refer to their efforts to decrease disproportionality in evaluation, identification and discipline if similar efforts are made in that area.

The district we will monitor these subgroups by analyzing our current methods for identifying students assessed through FAA. Our district program specialists, along with the input of school psychologists and social workers, will monitor the decision-making process for schools regarding initial eligibility for the instruction in access points and provide guidance to IEP teams as needed to help schools teams make the best data-informed decisions. Schools will receive technical assistance through district professional learning and as necessary during regularly scheduled visits with their district staffing specialist.

History

Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., Statewide Assessment for Students with Disabilities

District-Specific Procedures

These are the criteria required for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as per Rule 6A-1.0943(5), F.A.C.:

Section A: The decision that a student with a significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(5)(a), F.A.C., must be made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP.

If the definition of "most significant cognitive disability" is not met according to the criteria set in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., then complete Section E of this document, which satisfies Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)2., F.A.C.

Section B: The provisions regarding parental consent for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment found in Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., must be followed.

Section C: In order for a student to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment, all of the following criteria must be met:

1. The student must receive exceptional student education (ESE) services as identified through a current IEP and be enrolled in the appropriate and aligned courses using alternate achievement standards for two consecutive full-time equivalent reporting periods prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's current IEP and student schedule.

2. The student must be receiving specially designed instruction, which provides unique instruction and intervention support that is determined, designed and delivered through a team approach, ensuring access to core instruction through the adaptation of content, methodology or delivery of instruction and exhibits very limited to no progress in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or response-to-intervention (RtI) documentation.

3. The student must be receiving support through systematic, explicit and interactive small-group instruction focused on foundational skills in addition to instruction in the general education curriculum standards;

	Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or Rtl documentation.
4.	Even after documented evidence of exhausting all appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of services provided—accommodation logs, accommodation logs compared to classroom performance).
5.	Even after documented evidence of accessing a variety of supplementary instructional materials, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, speech-language pathologist (SLP) or other service providers).
6.	Even with documented evidence of the provision and use of assistive technology, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision assistive technology services provided).
7.	Even with direct instruction in all core academic areas (i.e., ELA, mathematics, social studies and science), the student is exhibiting limited or no progress on the general education curriculum standards, and requires modifications;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, SLP or other service providers).
8.	Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been available and present for grade-level general education curriculum standards instruction for at least 70 percent of the school year prior to the assessment;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's attendance report.
9.	Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been instructed by a certified teacher for at least 80 percent of the school year prior to the assessment; and
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the teacher's certificate and teacher's attendance record.
0.	The assessment instrument used to measure the student's global level of cognitive functioning was selected to limit the adverse impact of already-identified limitations and impairments (e.g., language acquisition, mode of communication, culture, hearing, vision, orthopedic functioning, hypersensitivities and distractibility).
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through available evaluations, medical reports or screeners provided in the past.

The student has a most significant cognitive disability.

Section D: A student is not eligible to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment if any of the following apply:

1. The student is identified as a student with a specific learning disability or as gifted;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable evaluation results.

2. The student is identified only as a student eligible for services as a student who is deaf or hard of hearing or has a visual impairment, a dual sensory impairment, an emotional or behavioral disability, a language impairment, a speech impairment, or an orthopedic impairment; or

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable data.

3. The student scored a level 2 or above on a previous statewide, general education curriculum standardized assessment administered pursuant to Section 1008.22(3)(e), F.S., unless there is medical documentation that the student experienced a traumatic brain injury or other health-related complications subsequent to the administration of that assessment that led to the student having the most significantly below-average global cognitive impairment.

Evidence of criteria will be provided through statewide standard assessment results, if applicable.

Section E: In the extraordinary circumstance when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable, a school district will comply as follows:

More specifically, in the event when a student cannot be directly assessed, the student who has a suspected most significant cognitive disability for whom assessment via the FAA may be appropriate as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., will be identified through the following detailed procedure:

List the factors the school district will use to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable.

In order to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable, the district will use the following factors: observations in the classroom and during evaluation conducted by the examiner, failed attempts of the use of more than one cognitive assessment, documentation of a medical diagnoses that impacts a student's ability to participate in a standardized cognitive test with fidelity or behaviors, language, sensory or motor limitations which severely impacts the student's ability to participate in a standardized cognitive assessment with fidelity.

н	18	t٨	ı۲۱
٠.			٠,

Describe the assessment process the school district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

In the absence of a reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning, the district will utilize a norm referenced standardized assessment that contains a cognitive impression such as the Developmental Profile 4 (DP4) using information from the student's parent or guardian and/or instructional personnel. This assessment measures a student's physical, socio-emotional, cognitive, adaptive behavior, communication or other areas related to learning or overall functioning in comparison to their same aged peers.

Describe how the school district will train and monitor staff with compliance of the determination and assessment process.

The district will provide annual training to appropriate staff members such as school psychologist and school and district based compliance personnel regarding steps 1 and 2. Monthly monitoring of the use of this process will be included in the monthly monitoring report provided to principals. Technical assistance will also be provided to school principals. Monitoring will also occur after each use of this process.

History
