2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: Madison (Approved)

Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

The school district administers districtwide assessments of academic student achievement. Yes No 			
History			
If <u>yes</u> , include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your school district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate academic achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the school district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)			
The district uses Progress Learning as a Districtwide Assessment. Students on alternate achievement standards do not participate in this assessment.			
Unique Curriculum is used for students on alternate achievement standards.			
History			
Parental Consent Documentation In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the school district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the school district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the school district obtains approval through a due process hearing. The school district shall obtain written parental consent for the actions described above on the Parental Consent Form – Instruction in Access Points – Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AP-AAAS) and Administration of the Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment, Form 313181 https://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-14585 . The school district certifies that it either obtains prior parental consent or due process approval for every student participating in the FAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the school district certifies that it has			
documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from DOAH. Yes No No			
Tilotory			

Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at https://www.ed.gov/essa), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.

While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 C.F.R. § 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than one percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The state must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.

It is understood that school districts have unique circumstances that may contribute to a higher number of students who are in access courses and participating in the FAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that school districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.

What is your school district's 2022-23 participation percentage in the FAA in the following areas?

Reading
2.0
History
Mathematics
2.0
History
Science
2.9
2.5
History
Is the school district over one percent in any area?
• Yes
O No
History

The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(d), F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., and on the Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation, which can be found at https://faa.fsassessments.org/-/media/project/client-portals/florida-alt/2023-2024-faa/manuals-and-guides/checklist-for-course-and-assessment-participation.pdf for use in determining student eligibility for participation in the FAA program.

If the school district is over one percent in any area, please provide a description of how the school district is ensuring that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)

Whenever considering Alternate Standards/Access Points, the team must review student performance, evaluation data, recent assessment, and interventions of services on the Consideration for Access Points Instruction and Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA). The student must also meet criteria for Alternate Standards/Access Points instruction and assessment by meeting the following requirements: (1) The student must have a significant cognitive disabilities. (2) Even with appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, assistive technology, or accessible instructional materials, the student modifications, as defined in paragraph 6A-6.034222(2)(z), F.A.C. to the grade-level general state content standards pursuant to Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C. (3) The student requires direct instruction in academic areas of English/Language Arts, Math, Social Studies, and Science based on access points pursuant to Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in order to acquire, generalize, and transfer skills across settings.

History

Provide a justification, with supporting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the school district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses that exceeds one percent (e.g., center schools serving surrounding school districts).

In reviewing specific programs or circumstances within the district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses and an exceeding of the 1%, the district has identified the following. Based on the 2022 Census, Madison County has a population of around 18,000 which has steadily decreased from the 18,500 in 2019. Of those 18,000 individuals, 22.4% (3,600) fall in the poverty level and 18.3% live with severe housing problems. Of the 22.4% of poverty level individuals, 30.1% of those are children. 15.7% of the population has a documented disability and 4.9% of those disabilities are cognitive.12.8% of the population does not have health coverage.

Collectively, all of these circumstances in the area of health and wellness may contribute to the district's higher percentage of students receive education in alternate standards. Declining enrollment of general education student population has increased our percentage of students receiving alternate instruction. When comparing the low enrollment to the enrollment of students qualifying for alternate instruction, our district as at a disadvantage because we do not nave many students to offset the percentage. As a district ESE team, we created a "Madison County School District Consideration for Access Points Instruction and Florida Alternate Assessment" data sheet for our IEP meetings to ensure initial and/or continued eligibility for alternate instruction.

History

What is your school district's risk ratio for disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup?

ELA American Indian or Alaskan Native

0

History

ELA Black, non-Hispanic

1.55

A Hispanic listory A Asian or Pacific Islander listory
listory A Asian or Pacific Islander
listory A Asian or Pacific Islander
listory A Asian or Pacific Islander
A Asian or Pacific Islander
A Asian or Pacific Islander
A Asian or Pacific Islander
listory
listory
A White, non-Hispanic
36
listory
A Economically Disadvantaged
.46
listory
A English Language Learner
listory
ath American Indian or Alaskan Native

History	
Math Black, non-Hispanic	
1.45	
History	
Math Hispanic	
1.13	
History	
Math Asian or Pacific Islander	
0	
History	
Math White, non-Hispanic	
.74	
History	
Math Economically Disadvantaged	
1.93	
History	
Math English Language Learner	
0	

History	
Outron American Indian and Alexandra Nation	
Science American Indian or Alaskan Native	
0	
History	
Science Black, non-Hispanic	
1.25	
History	
Science Hispanic	
.91	
History	
Science Asian or Pacific Islander	
History	
History	
Science White, non-Hispanic	
.90	
History	
Science Economically Disadvantaged	
1.63	

History	
Science English Language Learner	
0	
History	
Social Studies American Indian or Alaskan Native	
0	
History	
Social Studies Black, non-Hispanic	
NA	
History	
History	
Social Studies Hispanic	
NA	
History	
Social Studies Asian or Pacific Islander	
NA	
History	
Social Studies White, non-Hispanic	
NA	

History			
Social Studies Economically Disadva	ntaged		
NA			
History			
Social Studies English Language Lea	ırner		
NA			
History			

If an identified risk ratio is 3 or above in any area, describe the school district's plan to address this disproportionality. This could include examining practices, such as the training and technical assistance provided to personnel on culturally responsive practices; working within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to promote best practices in screening; progress monitoring; and initial eligibility determination. School districts may also refer to their efforts to decrease disproportionality in evaluation, identification and discipline if similar efforts are made in that area.

No areas of risk ratio of 3 or above.

History

Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., Statewide Assessment for Students with Disabilities

District-Specific Procedures

These are the criteria required for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as per Rule 6A-1.0943(5), F.A.C.:

Section A: The decision that a student with a significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(5)(a), F.A.C., must be made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP.

If the definition of "most significant cognitive disability" is not met according to the criteria set in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., then complete Section E of this document, which satisfies Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)2., F.A.C.

Section B: The provisions regarding parental consent for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment found in Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., must be followed.

Section C: In order for a student to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment, all of the following criteria must be met:

 The student must receive exceptional student education (ESE) services as identified through a current IEP and be enrolled in the appropriate and aligned courses using alternate achievement standards for two consecutive full-time equivalent reporting periods prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's current IEP and student schedule.

2. The student must be receiving specially designed instruction, which provides unique instruction and intervention support that is determined, designed and delivered through a team approach, ensuring access to core instruction through the adaptation of content, methodology or delivery of instruction and exhibits very limited to no progress in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or response-to-intervention (RtI) documentation.

3. The student must be receiving support through systematic, explicit and interactive small-group instruction focused on foundational skills in addition to instruction in the general education curriculum standards;

	Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or Rtl documentation.
4.	Even after documented evidence of exhausting all appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of services provided—accommodation logs, accommodation logs compared to classroom performance).
5.	Even after documented evidence of accessing a variety of supplementary instructional materials, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, speech-language pathologist (SLP) or other service providers).
6.	Even with documented evidence of the provision and use of assistive technology, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision assistive technology services provided).
7.	Even with direct instruction in all core academic areas (i.e., ELA, mathematics, social studies and science), the student is exhibiting limited or no progress on the general education curriculum standards, and requires modifications;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided with (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, SLP or other service providers).
8.	Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been available and present for grade-level general education curriculum standards instruction for at least 70 percent of the school year prior to the assessment;
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's attendance report.
9.	Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been instructed by a certified teacher for at least 80 percent of the school year prior to the assessment; and
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through the teacher's certificate and teacher's attendance record.
0.	The assessment instrument used to measure the student's global level of cognitive functioning was selected to limit the adverse impact of already-identified limitations and impairments (e.g., language acquisition, mode of communication, culture, hearing, vision, orthopedic functioning, hypersensitivities and distractibility).
	Evidence of criteria will be provided through available evaluations, medical reports or screeners provided in the past.

11. The student has a most significant cognitive disability.

Section D: A student is not eligible to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment if any of the following apply:

1. The student is identified as a student with a specific learning disability or as gifted;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable evaluation results.

2. The student is identified only as a student eligible for services as a student who is deaf or hard of hearing or has a visual impairment, a dual sensory impairment, an emotional or behavioral disability, a language impairment, a speech impairment, or an orthopedic impairment; or

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable data.

3. The student scored a level 2 or above on a previous statewide, general education curriculum standardized assessment administered pursuant to Section 1008.22(3)(e), F.S., unless there is medical documentation that the student experienced a traumatic brain injury or other health-related complications subsequent to the administration of that assessment that led to the student having the most significantly below-average global cognitive impairment.

Evidence of criteria will be provided through statewide standard assessment results, if applicable.

Section E: In the extraordinary circumstance when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable, a school district will comply as follows:

More specifically, in the event when a student cannot be directly assessed, the student who has a suspected most significant cognitive disability for whom assessment via the FAA may be appropriate as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., will be identified through the following detailed procedure:

List the factors the school district will use to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable.

The district will attempt two separate, individually administered measures of global cognitive functioning with students suspected of having a significant cognitive disability. An assessment isconsidered INVALID if the student is unable to respond with purposeful and intentional responses, if the student is unable to understand the presented tasks, or if a valid basal is not obtained on the measure of global cognitive ability.

Describe the assessment process the school district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

When an individually administered measure of global cognitive functioning is unattainable, the evaluator(s) will be asked to obtain additional assessments to include a developmental assessment, a measure of adaptive behavior collected from the teacher and/or parent/guardian. The Speech and Language Pathologist will conduct a direct assessment of language/communication skills OR a review of communication methods in place for those students without intentional language. Those scores, along with a review of previous attempts at direct assessment, and any evidence of medical conditions that impact global functioning willbe documented on the *Consideration for Access Points Instruction and Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment* form. The IEP team will consider all available data and evidence regarding the student's cognitive ability. A decision will be made by the IEP team based on a thorough review of the student's diagnostic information, adaptive functioning, academic performance data, and the guidelines outlined in Rule 6A-1.0943, Florida Administrative Code(F.A.C.).

н	IIS	ot	r٧
			٠,

Describe how the school district will train and monitor staff with compliance of the determination and assessment process.

The school district will provide training to all evaluators on the completion and appropriate useof the *Consideration for Access Points Instruction and Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment* form. The ESE department provides training to school psychologists, staffing specialists, Speech and Language Pathologists, ESE teachers, and other applicable IEP team members through annual or as-needed workshops that address district-specific policies and procedures. The ESE department ensures compliance through the completion of the *Consideration for Access Points Instruction and Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment* form, the student's IEP, and the annual FSAA Assurances Self-Assessment.

History	