2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: Holmes (Approved)

Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

The school district administers districtwide assessments of academic student achievement. • Yes • No

History

If <u>yes</u>, include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate academic achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your school district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate academic achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the school district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)

The district will use the state progress monitoring assessments to monitor progress in reading and math. Students on alternate achievement standards will be monitored with a portfolio based on grade level alternate academic achievement standards in reading and math. The teacher of record will utilize the Access Project's support documents to include a scoring rubric and course descriptions in reading and math that records the date(s) of instruction, date(s) of assessment, and date of mastery to ensure all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.

History

Parental Consent Documentation

In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the school district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the school district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the school district obtains approval through a due process hearing. The school district shall obtain written parental consent for the actions described above on the Parental Consent Form – Instruction in Access Points – Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AP-AAAS) and Administration of the Statewide, Standardized Alternate Assessment, Form 313181 https://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-14585.

The school district certifies that it either obtains prior parental consent or due process approval for every student participating in the FAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the school district certifies that it has documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from DOAH.

• Yes

O No

History

Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment

eligibility for participation in the FAA program.

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at <u>https://www.ed.gov/essa</u>), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.

While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 C.F.R. § 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than one percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The state must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.

It is understood that school districts have unique circumstances that may contribute to a higher number of students who are in access courses and participating in the FAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that school districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.

What is your school district's 2022-23 participation percentage in the FAA in the following areas?

Reading

1.9

History
Mathematics
2.2
History
Science
1.3
History
Is the school district over one percent in any area?
• Yes
O No
History
The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(d), F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., and on the Checklist for
Course and Assessment Participation, which can be found at https://faa.fsassessments.org/-/media/project/client-portals/florida-
alt/2023-2024-faa/manuals-and-guides/checklist-for-course-and-assessment-participation.pdf for use in determining student

If the school district is over one percent in any area, please provide a description of how the school district is ensuring that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)

The district provides professional development and information about eligibility requirements for the FAA. The IEP team utilizes the Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation.

History

Provide a justification, with supporting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the school district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses that exceeds one percent (e.g., center schools serving surrounding school districts).

The district has approximately 40 students identified for the ID (32), ASD (8), and EBD (1) programs who have significant cognitive disabilities out of approximately 1,900 students which contribute to a higher enrollment of students in access courses exceeding the 1%. Our district's participation rates are similar to the state's participation rates. Our district is small in size and when families move to our community, it is possible a minor increase in the number of students taking the FAA could result in exceeding the 1% in any area. Holmes does not have any specialized schools. There are two factors that have possibly contributed to why Holmes has exceeded the 1% of students identified with an intellectual disability who take the alternate assessment. One factor is drug related arrests. In the 2021 County and Municipal Arrest Report from the Florida Department of Law Enforcement, there were 1,112 arrests in Holmes County. Of those 1,112 arrests, 341 were drug related crimes. Research shows that drug abuse could link to intellectual disabilities according to U.S. National Library of Medicine's MedlinePlus. The other factor that possibly contributes to intellectual disabilities is a lack of prenatal and neonatal care. Holmes County does not have an obstetrician or neonatal care unit, the closest obstetrician and neonatal care unit is at least an hour away in our rural community. According to U.S. National Library of Medicine's MedlinePlus, malnutrition can cause an intellectual disability.

History

What is your school district's risk ratio for disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup?

ELA American Indian or Alaskan Native

0

History

ELA Black, non-Hispanic

1.362523191

History

ELA Hispanic
2.021691176
History
ELA Asian or Pacific Islander
0
History
ELA White, non-Hispanic
0.719518577
History
ELA Economically Disadvantaged
2.068658737
History
ELA English Language Learner
0
History
Math American Indian or Alaskan Native
0
History

Math Black, non-Hispanic	
1.97716895	
History	
Math Hispanic	
2.035211268	
History	
Math Asian or Pacific Islander	
0	
History	
Math White, non-Hispanic	
0.634590587	
History	
Math Economically Disadvantaged	
1.513901761	
History	
Math English Language Learner	
0	
History	

Science American	Indian or Alaskan Native
0	
History	
Science Black, no	n-Hispanic
0	
History	
Science Hispanic	
2.371323529	
History	
Science Asian or I	Pacific Islander
0	
History	
Science White, no	n-Hispanic
0.569349315	
History	
HISLOLY	
пізіогу	
	ally Disadvantaged
	ally Disadvantaged
Science Economic	ally Disadvantaged
Science Economic	ally Disadvantaged

Science English Language Learner	
0	
History	
Social Studies American Indian or Alaskan Native	
n/a	
History	
Social Studies Black, non-Hispanic	
n/a	
History	
Social Studies Hispanic	
n/a	
History	
Social Studies Asian or Pacific Islander	
n/a	
History	
Social Studies White, non-Hispanic	
n/a	
1 Patama	
History	

/17/24, 2:46 PM	GSW 2023-2024 Policies and Procedures: Holmes (Approved)
Social Studies Economically Dis	sadvantaged
n/a	
History	
Social Studios English Longuage	
Social Studies English Languag	e Learner
History	
This could include examining pu culturally responsive practices; screening; progress monitoring	above in any area, describe the school district's plan to address this disproportionality. ractices, such as the training and technical assistance provided to personnel on working within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to promote best practices in ; and initial eligibility determination. School districts may also refer to their efforts to valuation, identification and discipline if similar efforts are made in that area.
History	
Rule 6A	-1.0943, F.A.C., Statewide Assessment for Students with Disabilities District-Specific Procedures
These are the criteria required for F.A.C.:	participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment as per Rule 6A-1.0943(5),
	dent with a significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide, standardized n Rule 6A-1.0943(5)(a), F.A.C., must be made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP.
•	ficant cognitive disability" is not met according to the criteria set in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., n E of this document, which satisfies Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)2., F.A.C.
Section B : The provisions regardi found in Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.0	ng parental consent for participation in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment C., must be followed.
Section C: In order for a student to must be met:	o participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment, all of the following criteria
	xceptional student education (ESE) services as identified through a current IEP and be and aligned courses using alternate achievement standards for two consecutive full-time s prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's current IEP and student schedule.

2. The student must be receiving specially designed instruction, which provides unique instruction and intervention support that is determined, designed and delivered through a team approach, ensuring access to core instruction through the adaptation of content, methodology or delivery of instruction and exhibits very limited to no progress in the general

education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or response-to-intervention (RtI) documentation.

3. The student must be receiving support through systematic, explicit and interactive small-group instruction focused on foundational skills in addition to instruction in the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through MTSS or Rtl documentation.

4. Even after documented evidence of exhausting all appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided with ______ (the required IEP and school district documentation of services provided—accommodation logs, accommodation logs compared to classroom performance).

5. Even after documented evidence of accessing a variety of supplementary instructional materials, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided with ______ (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, speech-language pathologist (SLP) or other service providers).

6. Even with documented evidence of the provision and use of assistive technology, the student requires modifications to the general education curriculum standards;

Evidence of criteria will be provided with ______ (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision assistive technology services provided).

7. Even with direct instruction in all core academic areas (i.e., ELA, mathematics, social studies and science), the student is exhibiting limited or no progress on the general education curriculum standards, and requires modifications;

Evidence of criteria will be provided with ______ (the required IEP and school district documentation of the provision of supplementary instructional materials—may be a summary from teacher, SLP or other service providers).

8. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been available and present for grade-level general education curriculum standards instruction for at least 70 percent of the school year prior to the assessment;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the student's attendance report.

9. Unless the student is a transfer student, the student must have been instructed by a certified teacher for at least 80 percent of the school year prior to the assessment; and

Evidence of criteria will be provided through the teacher's certificate and teacher's attendance record.

10. The assessment instrument used to measure the student's global level of cognitive functioning was selected to limit the adverse impact of already-identified limitations and impairments (e.g., language acquisition, mode of communication, culture, hearing, vision, orthopedic functioning, hypersensitivities and distractibility).

Evidence of criteria will be provided through available evaluations, medical reports or screeners provided in the past.

11. The student has a most significant cognitive disability.

Section D: A student is not eligible to participate in the statewide, standardized alternate assessment if any of the following apply:

1. The student is identified as a student with a specific learning disability or as gifted;

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable evaluation results.

2. The student is identified only as a student eligible for services as a student who is deaf or hard of hearing or has a visual impairment, a dual sensory impairment, an emotional or behavioral disability, a language impairment, a speech impairment, or an orthopedic impairment; or

Evidence of criteria will be provided through IEP and applicable data.

3. The student scored a level 2 or above on a previous statewide, general education curriculum standardized assessment administered pursuant to Section 1008.22(3)(e), F.S., unless there is medical documentation that the student experienced a traumatic brain injury or other health-related complications subsequent to the administration of that assessment that led to the student having the most significantly below-average global cognitive impairment.

Evidence of criteria will be provided through statewide standard assessment results, if applicable.

Section E: In the extraordinary circumstance when a global, full-scale intelligent quotient score is unattainable, a school district will comply as follows:

More specifically, in the event when a student cannot be directly assessed, the student who has a suspected most significant cognitive disability for whom assessment via the FAA may be appropriate as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1)(f)1., F.A.C., will be identified through the following detailed procedure:

List the factors the school district will use to determine that a direct assessment of cognitive functioning is not achievable.

Factors used to determine that direct assessment of cognitive functioning is unattainable:

- a. evaluator documents in a report that there was an unsuccessful attempt at directly assessing the student
- b. lack of communication skills and/or student engagement or other limitations/impairments,
- c. observations and input from teacher, parent(s), related service providers and/or evaluator,
- d. consideration of medical diagnosis, and
- e. classroom data
- History

Describe the assessment process the school district will use to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning.

The assessment process used to determine if a student has a most significant cognitive disability in the absence of a reliable direct assessment of cognitive functioning will be the use of a standardized developmental scale completed by parent(s), teacher, and/or evaluator. The team will consider all previous educational, medical, developmental history, and adaptive behavior.

History

Describe how the school district will train and monitor staff with compliance of the determination and assessment process.

The evaluator(s) will be provided professional development on policies and procedures. The ESE Director or designee will monitor the process and provide technical assistance for each occurrence.

History