Dear Superintendent Anderson:

The Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services is in receipt of your district’s response to the preliminary findings of its 2009–10 Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Compliance Self-Assessment. This letter and the attached document comprise the final report for Walton County School District’s 2009–10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment monitoring process.

The self-assessment system is designed to address the major areas of compliance related to the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). SPP Indicator 15, Timely Correction of Noncompliance, requires that the state identify and correct noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. While any incident of noncompliance is of concern, in accordance with the language in SPP Indicator 15, the Bureau’s current monitoring system considers the timeliness of correction of noncompliance to be of greatest significance.

The results of district self-assessments are included in the State’s APR and are used to inform oversight activities, including the selection of districts for on-site monitoring, and the local education agency (LEA) determinations required under Section 300.603, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, which result in districts being identified as “meets requirements,” “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention.”

On April 19, 2010, the preliminary report of findings from the 2009-10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment process was released to your district’s ESE Director. The preliminary report detailed student-specific incidents of noncompliance that required immediate correction. Districts were required to correct all student-specific noncompliance and to provide evidence to the Bureau no later than June 18, 2010.

BAMBI J. LOCKMAN
Chief
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
In addition, the preliminary report identified any standards for which the noncompliance was considered
systemic (i.e., evident in ≥ 25% of the records reviewed). **There were no systemic findings of noncompliance for Walton County School District; the district is to be commended.**

*In its 2009–10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment, Walton County School District assessed 26
standards. One incident of noncompliance was identified on one of those standards (3.8%). The following
is a summary of the district’s timely correction of student-specific incidents of noncompliance:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correction of Noncompliance by Student</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Records Reviewed/Protocols Completed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Assessed</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompliant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Corrected</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached *Walton County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard* contains a summary of the findings reported by the individual standard or regulation assessed. The district had no systemic findings of noncompliance on specific standards that required the development of a corrective action plan.

We understand that the implementation of this self-assessment required a significant commitment of
resources and appreciate the time and attention your staff has devoted to the process thus far.

If you have questions regarding this process, please contact your assigned district liaison for monitoring
or Patricia Howell, Program Director, at (850) 245-0476 or via electronic mail at
patricia.howell@fldoe.org.

Sincerely,

Bambi J. Lockman, Chief
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
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This report provides a summary of the district's results and must be used when developing a corrective action plan. Results are reported by standard, with systemic noncompliance (occurrence in ≥ 25% of possible incidents) indicated as appropriate. See the Student Report: Incidents of Noncompliance for student-specific findings. Results are based on the following:

- Number of IE protocols completed: 6
- Number of standards per IE: 21
- Number of OHI disabilities completed: 6
- Number of standards per OHI: 5

Total number of protocols: 6  
Total number of standards: 156  
Total number of incidents of noncompliance (NC): 1  
Overall % incidents of noncompliance: 0.6%

Percent of noncompliance is calculated as the # of incidents of noncompliance for a given standard divided by the # of protocols reviewed for that standard, multiplied by 100.

* Correctable for the student(s): A finding for which immediate action can be taken to correct the noncompliance.
** Individual CAP: For a finding which cannot be corrected for an individual student, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to address how the district will ensure future compliance; this plan will be limited in scope, based on the nature of the finding.
*** Systemic CAP: For a finding of noncompliance on a given standard that occurs in ≥ 25% of possible incidents, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to ensure future compliance; this plan must address the systemic nature of the finding and will be broader in scope than an individual CAP.

Note: In the event that there is a systemic finding of noncompliance on a standard that requires an individual CAP, only a systemic CAP is required.
### Walton County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncompliance (NC)</th>
<th>*Correctable for the Student(s)</th>
<th>**Individual CAP</th>
<th># NC</th>
<th>% NC</th>
<th>***Systemic CAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IE-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The school district provided prior written notice of its proposal to evaluate a student to determine if the student qualifies as a student with a disability. (34 CFR 300.503(a); Rule 6A-6.03311(1), F.A.C.)