June 20, 2008

Mr. Ronald Blocker, Superintendent
Orange County School District
P.O. Box 271
Orlando, FL 32802-0271

Dear Mr. Blocker:

The Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services is in receipt of your district’s response to the preliminary findings of its Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Compliance Self-Assessment. This letter and the attached document(s) comprise the final report for Orange County School District’s 2007-08 ESE monitoring.

As indicated in prior communication with district ESE staff, it was anticipated that there might be an increase in the number of findings of noncompliance over previous monitoring activities due to the design of the self-assessment protocols and sampling system. While any incident of noncompliance is of concern, it is important to note that, in accordance with the language in SPP Indicator 15, the Bureau’s current monitoring system considers the timeliness of correction of noncompliance to be of greatest significance.

On February 22, 2008, the preliminary report of findings from the self-assessment process was released to the district. The preliminary report detailed student-specific incidents of noncompliance that required immediate correction, and identified any standards for which the noncompliance was considered systemic (i.e., evident in ≥ 25% of the records reviewed). In the event that there were systemic findings, a corrective action plan (CAP) was required. In addition,
the district participated in a validation review to ensure the accuracy of the self-assessment data. As a result of the validation review, additional incidents or findings of noncompliance requiring correction were identified.

In accordance with guidance from the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), U.S. Department of Education, a finding of noncompliance is identified by the standard (i.e., regulation or requirement) that is violated, not by the number of times the standard is violated. While each incident of noncompliance must be corrected for the individual student affected, multiple incidents of noncompliance regarding a given standard that occur within a school district are reported as a single finding of noncompliance for that district. These results are included in the Bureau’s annual reporting to OSEP.

Districts were required to correct all student-specific noncompliance no later than April 25, 2008, and to provide evidence to the Bureau no later than April 30, 2008. Documentation received from the district on May 5, 2008, included a description of actions taken to address the findings and evidence of corrections for some students. The templates provided to track corrections and to submit a systemic corrective action plan have not been received as of this date.

Orange County was required to assess 56 standards. One or more incidents of noncompliance were identified on 5 of those standards (9%). The following is a summary of Orange County School District’s correction of student-specific incidents of noncompliance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Records Reviewed/Protocols Completed</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Assessed</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompliant</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Corrected</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Yet Corrected</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As indicated in the table above, the district has not completed the required student-specific corrective actions. Documentation of correction of all student-specific noncompliance must be submitted to the Bureau within 30 days of the start of the 2008-09 school year. The Orange District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard (Attachment 1) contains a summary of the findings reported by the individual standard or regulation assessed. These data include revisions to the preliminary report that resulted from the validation review. Systemic findings are designated by shaded cells in the table. As noted in this attachment, one or more findings of noncompliance were determined to be systemic in nature and the district was required to develop a CAP to address the identified standards.
The results of district self-assessments conducted during 2007-08 will be used to inform future monitoring activities, including the selection of districts for on-site monitoring, and in the local educational agency (LEA) determinations required under section 300.603, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, which result in districts being identified as “meets requirements,” “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention.”

We understand that the implementation of this self-assessment required a significant commitment of resources, and appreciate the time and attention your staff has devoted to the process thus far. We look forward to receiving the district’s report on the results of its corrective action plan, due to the Bureau no later than December 22, 2008. If you have questions regarding this process, please contact your assigned district liaison for monitoring or Dr. Kim C. Komisar, Administrator, at kim.komisar@fldoe.org or via phone at (850) 245-0476.

Sincerely,

Bambi J. Lockman, Chief
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services

Attachments

cc: Anna Diaz
    Frances Haithcock
    Kim C. Komisar
    Brenda Fisher
    Sheila Gritz
    Marilyn Hibbard
ESE Self-Assessment
2007 – 08

Orange District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard

This report provides a summary of the district's results and must be used when developing a corrective action plan. Results are reported by standard, with systemic noncompliance (occurrence in ≥ 25% of possible incidents) indicated as appropriate. See the Student Report: Incidents of Noncompliance for student-specific findings. Results are based on the following:

- Number of LRE protocols completed: 37
- Number of standards per LRE: 28
- Number of STB protocols completed: 13
- Number of standards per STB: 28
- Total number of protocols: 50
- Total number of standards: 1400
- Total number of incidents of noncompliance (NC): 30
- Overall % incidents of noncompliance: 2%

Percent of noncompliance is calculated as the # of incidents of noncompliance for a given standard divided by the # of protocols reviewed for that standard, multiplied by 100.

* Correctable for the student(s): A finding for which immediate action can be taken to correct the noncompliance.

** Individual CAP: For a finding which cannot be corrected for an individual student, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to address how the district will ensure future compliance; this plan will be limited in scope, based on the nature of the finding.

*** Systemic CAP: For a finding of noncompliance on a given standard that occurs in ≥ 25% of possible incidents, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to ensure future compliance; this plan must address the systemic nature of the finding and will be broader in scope than an individual CAP.

Note: In the event that there is a systemic finding of noncompliance on a standard that requires an individual CAP, only a systemic CAP is required.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncompliance (NC)</th>
<th>*Correctable for the Student(s)</th>
<th>**Individual CAP</th>
<th># NC</th>
<th>% NC</th>
<th>***Systemic CAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STB-9 There is a measurable postsecondary goal or goals in the designated areas (i.e., education/training and employment; where appropriate, independent living). (34 CFR 300.320(b)(1))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STB-10 The measurable postsecondary goals were based on age-appropriate transition assessment(s). (34 CFR 300.320(b)(1))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STB-11 There is/are annual goal(s) or short-term objectives or benchmarks that reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals. (34 CFR 300.320(a)(2))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STB-12 There are transition services on the IEP that focus on improving the academic and functional achievement of the student to facilitate the student’s articulation to post-school. (34 CFR 300.320(b)(2))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STB-16 The IEP includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition service that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals. (34 CFR 300.320(b))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>