July 15, 2011

Mr. Tim McGonegal, Superintendent
Manatee County School District
P.O. Box 9069
Bradenton, Florida 34206-9069

Dear Superintendent McGonegal:

This letter and attachment comprise the final report for Manatee County School District’s 2010–11 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment process for exceptional student education (ESE) compliance. Two incidents of noncompliance were identified. The district has corrected all of the student-specific noncompliance as well as providing the required records to demonstrate 100 percent compliance on the one targeted standard. No further corrective actions are required.

The self-assessment system is designed to address the major areas of compliance related to the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). SPP Indicator 15, Timely Correction of Noncompliance, requires that the state identify and correct noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. While any incident of noncompliance is of concern, in accordance with the language in SPP Indicator 15, the Bureau’s current monitoring system considers the timeliness of correction of noncompliance to be of the greatest significance.

The results of district self-assessments are included in the State’s APR and are used to inform oversight activities, including the selection of districts for on-site monitoring, and the local educational agency (LEA) determinations required under Section 300.603, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, which result in districts being identified as “meets requirements,” “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention.”

On April 22, 2011, the preliminary report of findings from the 2010–11 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment process was released to your district’s ESE Director. The preliminary report detailed student-specific incidents of noncompliance that required immediate correction.
Districts were required to correct all student-specific noncompliance and to provide evidence to the Bureau no later than June 21, 2011. In addition, districts are required to demonstrate that they are now correctly implementing each of the standards identified as noncompliant (i.e., 100 percent compliance).

In its 2010–11 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment, Manatee County School District assessed 23 standards. One or more incidents of noncompliance were identified on one standard (4.3%). The following is a summary of the district’s timely correction of student-specific incidents of noncompliance:

**Correction of Noncompliance by Student**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Records Reviewed/Protocols Completed</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Assessed</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompliant</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Corrected</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached *Manatee County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard* contains a summary of the findings reported by the individual standard or regulation assessed.

In addition to the individual correction(s) reported above, the district was required to demonstrate 100 percent compliance for each standard that was identified as noncompliant through review of a random sample of student records. Your district has provided the required records to demonstrate 100 percent compliance on all of the targeted standards, and no further corrective actions are required.

We understand that the implementation of this self-assessment required a significant commitment of resources and appreciate the time and attention your staff has devoted to the process.

If you have questions regarding this process, please contact your assigned district liaison for monitoring or Patricia Howell, Program Director, at (850) 245-0476 or via electronic mail at patricia.howell@fldoe.org.

Sincerely,

Bambi J. Lockman, LL.D.
Chief, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
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This report provides a summary of the district's results and must be used when developing corrective actions. See the Student Report: Incidents of Noncompliance for student-specific findings. Results are reported by standard, and are based on the following:

- Number of Standard Diploma (SD) protocols completed: 11
- Number of standards per Standard Diploma (SD) protocol: 23

Total number of protocols: 11
- Total number of standards: 253
- Total number of incidents of noncompliance (NC): 2
- Overall % incidents of noncompliance: 0.8%

Total number of different standards assessed: 23
- Total number of different standards for which noncompliance was identified: 1
- % of different standards for which noncompliance was identified: 4.3%

Percent of noncompliance is calculated as the # of incidents of noncompliance for a given standard divided by the # of protocols reviewed for that standard, multiplied by 100.

* Correctable for the student(s): A finding which requires immediate action(s) to correct the noncompliance

** Ensure future compliance: For findings which cannot be corrected for individual students, corrective actions are required to address how the district will ensure future compliance
**Noncompliance (NC)** | **Correctable for the Student(s)** | **Ensure Future Compliance** | # NC | % NC
---|---|---|---|---
SD-22 | *X* | 2 | 18.2%

The IEP had been reviewed at least annually, and revised as appropriate, to address: any lack of progress toward the annual goals; any lack of progress in the general education curriculum, if appropriate; the results of reevaluation; information about the student provided to, or by, the parent; and/or the student’s anticipated needs or other matters. (34 CFR §300.324(b)(1))