July 12, 2010

Dr. W. Daniel Boyd, Jr., Superintendent
Alachua County School District
620 East University Avenue
Gainesville, Florida  32601

Dear Superintendent Boyd:

The Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services is in receipt of your district’s response to the preliminary findings of its 2009–10 Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Compliance Self-Assessment. This letter and the attached document comprise the final report for Alachua County School District’s 2009–10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment monitoring process.

The self-assessment system is designed to address the major areas of compliance related to the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). SPP Indicator 15, Timely Correction of Noncompliance, requires that the state identify and correct noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. While any incident of noncompliance is of concern, in accordance with the language in SPP Indicator 15, the Bureau’s current monitoring system considers the timeliness of correction of noncompliance to be of greatest significance.

The results of district self-assessments are included in the State’s APR and are used to inform oversight activities, including the selection of districts for on-site monitoring, and the local education agency (LEA) determinations required under Section 300.603, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, which result in districts being identified as “meets requirements,” “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention.”

On April 19, 2010, the preliminary report of findings from the 2009-10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment process was released to your district’s ESE Director. The preliminary report detailed student-specific incidents of noncompliance that required immediate correction. Districts were required to correct all student-specific noncompliance and to provide evidence to the Bureau no later than June 18, 2010.
In addition, the preliminary report identified any standards for which the noncompliance was considered systemic (i.e., evident in ≥ 25% of the records reviewed). **Your district had systemic findings for which a corrective action plan (CAP) was required.** Alachua County School District’s CAP was submitted to the Bureau for review and approval. We look forward to receiving the district’s report on their results no later than **February 21, 2011.** Your district’s adherence to this schedule is required in order to ensure correction of systemic noncompliance within a year as required by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) and Florida’s SPP.

*In its 2009–10 Spring Cycle Level 2 self-assessment, Alachua County School District assessed 55 standards. One or more incidents of noncompliance were identified on two of those standards (3.6%). The following is a summary of the district’s timely correction of student-specific incidents of noncompliance:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correction of Noncompliance by Student</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Records Reviewed/Protocols Completed</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Assessed</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompliant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Corrected</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached *Alachua County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard* contains a summary of the findings reported by the individual standard or regulation assessed. In the event there were systemic findings of noncompliance on specific standards that required the development of a CAP, those items are designated by shaded cells.

We understand that the implementation of this self-assessment required a significant commitment of resources and appreciate the time and attention your staff has devoted to the process thus far.

If you have questions regarding this process, please contact your assigned district liaison for monitoring or Patricia Howell, Program Director, at (850) 245-0476 or via electronic mail at patricia.howell@fldoe.org.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Bambi J. Lockman, Chief
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services

Attachment

cc:  Kathleen Black  Patricia Howell
     Jan Benet      Brenda Fisher
     Frances Haithcock  Mary Jane Tappen
     Kim C. Komisar  Sheila Gritz
2009 – 2010 Self-Assessment
Spring Cycle Level 2

Alachua County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard

This report provides a summary of the district’s results and must be used when developing a corrective action plan. Results are reported by standard, with systemic noncompliance (occurrence in ≥ 25% of possible incidents) indicated as appropriate. See the *Student Report: Incidents of Noncompliance* for student-specific findings. Results are based on the following:

Number of D protocols completed: 8  
Number of standards per D: 32  
Number of SD protocols completed: 8  
Number of standards per SD: 23

Total number of protocols: 16  
Total number of standards: 440  
Total number of incidents of noncompliance (NC): 4  
Overall % incidents of noncompliance: 0.9%

Percent of noncompliance is calculated as the # of incidents of noncompliance for a given standard divided by the # of protocols reviewed for that standard, multiplied by 100.

* Correctable for the student(s): A finding for which immediate action can be taken to correct the noncompliance.  
** Individual CAP: For a finding which cannot be corrected for an individual student, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to address how the district will ensure future compliance; this plan will be limited in scope, based on the nature of the finding.  
*** Systemic CAP: For a finding of noncompliance on a given standard that occurs in ≥ 25% of possible incidents, a corrective action plan (CAP) is required to ensure future compliance; this plan must address the systemic nature of the finding and will be broader in scope than an individual CAP.

Note: In the event that there is a systemic finding of noncompliance on a standard that requires an individual CAP, only a systemic CAP is required.
### Alachua County District Summary Report: Findings of Noncompliance by Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncompliance (NC)</th>
<th><em>Correctable for the Student(s)</em></th>
<th><strong>Individual CAP</strong></th>
<th># NC</th>
<th>% NC</th>
<th>*<strong>Systemic CAP</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD-9</td>
<td>There is alignment among the present level of academic and functional performance statement, the annual goals (and short-term objectives/benchmarks, if applicable), and the services identified on the IEP. (34 CFR 300.320(a))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-15</td>
<td>The concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of their child were considered in developing the IEP. (34 CFR 300.324(a)(1)(ii); 300.322(c)-(d); and 300.305(a))</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>